

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/029,300	IWASAKI, TAKAO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jacob P. Rohwer	2625

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Jacob P. Rohwer.

(3) Phillip J Articola.

(2) Mark Zimmerman.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 5 April 2006

**Time:** Morning

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic

Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

#### **Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

*11, 17 regarding Examiner's Amendment*

Prior art documents discussed:

#### **Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

#### **Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner's Amendment in claims 11 and 17 line 8, in reference to expanding one of a predetermined variety of colors, examiner asked to add the word "only" before predetermined so that claim would read expanding "only one of a predetermined variety of colors." Attorney contacted client and returned a phone call on 6 April 2006 disclosing that client did not agree to examiner's amendment and further examination should be taken accordingly...